

REMARKS

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Claims 29, 30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claims invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 29, 30 and 33 have been cancelled, thus rendering this rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101 moot. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowance of claims 34 and 35.

In view of the above, consideration and allowance are, therefore, respectfully solicited.

In the event the Examiner believes an interview might serve to advance the prosecution of this application in any way, the undersigned attorney is available at the telephone number noted below.

Application No. 10/648,481
Amendment dated February 6, 2008
Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2007

Docket No.: 20402-00550-US2

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees, or credit any overpayment, associated with this communication, including any extension fees, to CBLH Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 20402-00550-US2 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: February 6, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Morris Liss/
Morris Liss
Registration No.: 24,510
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1875 Eye Street, NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 331-7111
(202) 293-6229 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant